



**MINUTES OF THE
MINNEHAHA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
November 25, 2024**

A meeting of the Planning Commission was held on November 25, 2024 at 7:00 p.m. in the Commission Room of the Minnehaha County Administration Building.

COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: Commissioners Bonnie Duffy, Adam Mohrhauser, Doug Ode, Mike Ralston, Ryan VanDerVliet, and Joe Kippley.

STAFF PRESENT:

Kevin Hoekman and Mason Steffen – County Planning
Maggie Gillespie – States Attorney's Office

Bonnie Duffy chaired the meeting and called the Minnehaha County Planning Commission meeting to order at 7:50 p.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT.

Commissioner Duffy opened the floor for public comment and nobody moved to speak.

Consent Agenda

Commissioner Duffy read each item on the consent agenda and Items 2 & 3 were requested to be moved to the regular agenda.

A motion was made to **approve** the consent agenda consisting of Items 1 & 4 by Commissioner Ralston and seconded by Commissioner Ode. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor of the motion and 0 votes against the motion.

ITEM 1. Approval of Minutes – October 28, 2024

As part of the consent agenda, a motion was made by Commissioner Ralston and seconded by Commissioner Ode to **approve** the meeting minutes from October 28, 2024. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor of the motion and 0 votes against the motion.



Consent Agenda

ITEM 4. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT #24-54 to transfer one (1) building eligibility from the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ to the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ all within the W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ (Ex. Tract 1 Smithback Addition) Section 26 T102N-R52W Humboldt Township.

Petitioner: Michael Doyle

Property Owner: Same

Location: Approximately 2- $\frac{1}{2}$ miles southeast of Humboldt, along 261st Street

Staff Report: Scott Anderson

General Information:

Legal Description – W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ (Ex. Tract 1 Smithback Addition) Section 26 T102N-R52W Humboldt Township

Present Zoning – A1 Agricultural

Existing Land Use – Farmland

Parcel Size – 74.01 Acres

Staff Report: Scott Anderson

Staff Analysis: The property is located 3 miles southeast of Humboldt and 14 miles west of Sioux Falls, approximately 1 mile south of the intersection of County Highway 159 and Interstate 90. The applicant is requesting to move one building eligibility to the south. The area in which the building eligibility is now located is used as pasture. The applicant indicated that the building eligibility is being moved to allow for access to 261st Street. The current location of the building eligibility is within the interior quarters of the Section and does not have any access to a road.

On November 8, 2024 staff conducted a site visit. There are 2 residences located along 261st Street west of the proposed location of the transfer. The area surrounding the location of the proposed transfer is used for agricultural purposes.

Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

1) The effect upon the use and enjoyment of other property in the surrounding area for the uses already permitted, and upon property values within the surrounding area.

There are several residences located near the proposed site. A right-to-farm notice covenant should be required to notify potential buyers to the realities of locating in an agricultural area.

2) The effect upon the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.

The transfer of the building eligibility does not increase the number of dwelling units allowed in this section. The relocation and siting of one building eligibility in this location would have little to no effect on the orderly development of the surrounding properties.



3) That utilities, access roads, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities are provided.

No other extra utilities or services will be required for this site to utilize the building eligibility. It is likely that rural water and electricity are already located in the ditch. The applicant will need to obtain an approach permit from Humboldt Township prior to the installation of any new approach on 261st Street.

4) That the off-street parking and loading requirements are met.

The off-street parking requirements will be provided for once a single-family residence is constructed on the subject property.

5) That measures are taken to control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise, vibration, and lighting (inclusive of lighted signs), so that none of these will constitute a nuisance.

The proposed conditional use will not cause odor, fumes, dust, noise, vibrations or lighting in any amounts that would constitute a nuisance.

6) Health, safety, general welfare of the public and the Comprehensive Plan.

The proposed conditional use will have no effect on the health, safety and general welfare of the public. Placing the building eligibility in this location will enhance the productivity of the rest of this quarter section and locate the building eligibility in closer proximity of similar uses.

Recommendation: Staff finds this conditional use permit request to be consistent with density zoning and the comprehensive plan. Staff recommends **approval** of Conditional Use Permit #24-54 with the following conditions:

- 1) A right-to-farm notice covenant shall be placed on the deed prior to the issuance of a building permit for a single-family dwelling.
- 2) That prior to approval of a building permit, the applicant will need to an Approach Permit from Humboldt Township if required.

Action

As part of the consent agenda, a motion was made by Commissioner Ralston and seconded by Commissioner Ode to **approve** Conditional Use Permit #24-54 with the staff recommended conditions. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor of the motion and 0 votes against the motion.

Conditional Use Permit #24-54 – Approved



Regular Agenda

ITEM 2. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT #24-52 to allow a Private Gun Club on the property legally described as Lots 3 & 4 (Ex. W50 RDS) & E½ SW¼ (Ex. H1) Section 19 T103N-R51W Grand Meadow Township.

Petitioner: Humboldt Sharpshooters

Property Owner: Darlene Hahn Living Trust

Location: Approximately 4-½ miles northeast of Humboldt, along 254th Street

Staff Report: Kevin Hoekman

General Information:

Legal Description – Lots 3 & 4 (Ex. W50 RDS) & E½ SW¼ (Ex. H1) Section 19 T103N-R51W Grand Meadow Township

Present Zoning – A1 Agricultural

Existing Land Use – Farmland

Parcel Size – 99.12 Acres

Staff Report: Kevin Hoekman

Staff Analysis:

The petitioner is requesting to locate a private gun club on the described property. The gun club is planned for a three acre portion of the property inside an enclosed building. The zoning ordinance includes trap shoot, rifle range, and pistol range as allowed uses within the A1 Agricultural zoning district if a conditional use permit is approved.

The proposed property is located approximately 4.5 miles northeast of Humboldt and about 5 miles northwest of Hartford. The facility will be located in a three acre lot in the southeast corner of the described property along the paved county highway. All shooting activities are planned to take place within an enclosed building on the property. The petitioner further describes in the narrative that the shooting range will be used for youth shooting sports and not the general public. There will be competitions throughout the year with the expected capacity of up to 60 people at a competition.

Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

1) The effect upon the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the uses already permitted, and upon property values in the immediate vicinity.

The area around the proposed shooting range is predominantly agriculture crop and pasture land. The nearest farmsteads with residential dwellings are over a ¼ mile to the west. The property is easily accessed from County Highway 122. The paved highway will be able to absorb additional traffic created by the facility. Since the shooting range will take place entirely inside the building, many of the impacts of the land use will be minimized.

2) The effect upon the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.



The property is located in an active agricultural area. Despite the property location along a paved county highway, it is unlikely that the general area will change from agriculture and scattered residential properties. Nearby building eligibilities may be transferred further away before development if the shooting range is approved.

The applicant has not expressed that any activities will take place outside of the enclosed building. Any change to allow outdoor activities will require a conditional use permit to ensure activities will not become a nuisance to neighboring properties and that the outdoor activities will not be a hazard either. Staff recognizes that there is room on the property for potential outdoor accessory activities, and archery is a listed accessory activity that the facility will provide. Staff recommends that archery be allowed outdoors with a range officer present if the facility wants to expand that operation. All other shooting sports will require an amendment to this conditional use permit and further public hearing.

3) That utilities, access roads, drainage and/or other necessary facilities are provided.

The property is accessed from a hard surfaced county highway. The property owner will be responsible to obtain all necessary utilities. The property will need an on-site wastewater treatment system. A septic design will be required to be approved by the SD DANR prior to obtaining a county septic permit. Surface water drainage generally flows north from the building and parking area to a drainage way that flows east of the site into a neighboring wetland. The building and parking lot may lead to additional surface water drainage from the site as compared to the undeveloped site.

4) That the off-street parking and loading requirements are met.

The property will need 29 parking spaces with the general 1 space per 300 square feet of building area. Fifty parking spaces are depicted on the site plan. The parking area is depicted as being surfaced with crushed asphalt.

5) That measures are taken to control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise, vibration, and lighting (inclusive of lighted signs), so that none of these will constitute a nuisance.

Gun ranges with pistols and rifles have the potential for safety concerns and noise concern with the specific property. Most of the potential impacts on neighbors will be mitigated by the entire range being contained within the building. the area round the proposed shooting range is low density of residential uses, but the petitioner should reduce glare from lighting for the neighbors and traffic along the highway. All lighting on the outside of the building and in the parking lot should be cutoff and directed downward.

6) Health, safety, general welfare of the public and the Comprehensive Plan.

Health and safety is a primary concern for any shooting range. The applicant explains in the narrative that the property will be used primarily for youth associated with the club and shooting sports. A detailed building plan is provided with architecture review. The ground level will include BB gun and archery ranges while the basement includes 12 lanes for .22 gun ranges. The basement shooting range includes ballistic backstop for absorbing bullets, and the ceiling is indicated to be core floor concrete to prevent shots from penetrating through the floor. The operator will have to manage the shooting utilizing safe instructions and rules for shooters. Planning staff recommends



that at least one trained range officer is present at all hours while shooting is taking place.

The building is designed to be a shooting range with the primary users being youth. The facility will not have any event space for general assembly, and there will be no kitchen or bar for serving food or drinks at the facility. The space should not be rented to outside groups for assembly or events such as weddings and private parties.

Recommendation: Staff recommends **approval** of Conditional Use Permit #24-52 with the following conditions:

- 1) That Conditional Use Permit #24-52 shall allow an indoor private rifle & pistol range. Archery is permitted as accessory to the rifle & pistol range to take place outdoors during daylight hours.
- 2) That the property shall adhere to the site plan dated 10-9-24.
- 3) That at least one range officer shall be present while active shooting is taking place per floor.
- 4) That any outdoor lighting shall be of a full cutoff and fully shielded design that prevents the spillage of light beyond the boundaries of the subject property.
- 5) That parking and loading regulations outlined in Article 15.00 of the Minnehaha County Zoning Ordinance shall be met.
- 6) That building permits and other required inspections shall be obtained for all structures on the property.
- 7) The building cannot be rented to outside groups for events or assemblies. All activities must be related to the club.
- 8) That signage shall comply with the zoning ordinance requirements stated in Article 16.00 On-Premise Signs and Article 17.00 Off-Premise Signs. All signs require a building permit.
- 9) That the Planning & Zoning Department reserves the right to enter and inspect the property at any time, after proper notice to the owner, to ensure that the property is in full compliance with the conditional use permit of approval and the Minnehaha County Zoning Ordinance.

Public Testimony

Kevin Hoekman, of county planning staff, presented the staff report and recommendation to the commission.

The petitioner, Dempster Christenson of 1905 S Valley View Road, Sioux Falls, SD, was present and addressed the commission with his comments. Mr. Christenson explained that the Humboldt Sharpshooters have been around for twenty-two years and currently have fifty-four members, which mostly includes children. He further explained that the existing location in Hartford is too small for the growing organization and that this proposed site will allow for expansion now and in the future. He also stated that range officers and coaches are present with the children at all times and that the highest caliber firearm they use is .22 caliber firearms. Finally, he explained that the operation will not be open to the public and that only members will have access to the building.



Commissioner Ode asked for clarification on the size of the proposed building for the operation. The petitioner explained that the main floor of the building will be 56' x 100' and the basement will be 56' x 70'. The petitioner also commented that the backstops will be made of ballistic rubber, instead of raw steel, which limits the amount of lead from the bullets. He also stated that they will have a ventilation system within the building that will help remove any lead, dust, or gun powder that does accumulate.

John Maursetter, of 25231 461st Avenue, Hartford, SD, addressed the commission with his comments on the request. Mr. Maursetter explained that he is the property owner directly east of the site and stated that the site plan is not quite accurate because it is shifted over the property line onto his property. He also commented that his main concern was regarding any outdoor activities that could harm cattle and other livestock that graze on the surrounding properties. Finally, Mr. Maursetter stated that now that he is aware that any outdoor activities beyond archery would require an additional conditional use permit, he is less concerned with the request.

Another member of the Humboldt Sharpshooters came to the podium to provide history on the program. He explained that he was the one who originally started the program and is now one of the coaches. He further stated that several people from the program have gone on to be sharpshooters at the college level and that the program has high expectations and teaches responsibility to its members.

Commissioner Ralston commented that he understands the neighbor's initial concern regarding a shooting range, but that this request is inside a building, involves small caliber firearms, and is for children. Commissioner Ralston also commented that any future outdoor activities will require additional permits, so based on submitted items he is in favor of the request.

Action

A motion was made by Commissioner Ralston and seconded by Commissioner Ode to **approve** Conditional Use Permit #24-52 with the staff recommended conditions. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor and 0 votes against the motion

Conditional Use Permit #24-52 – Approved



ITEM 3. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT #24-53 to allow an Agricultural Related Operation on the property legally described as the NW¼ NE¼ Section 7 T102N-R49W Mapleton Township.

Petitioner: Harold Jackson Living Trust

Property Owner: Same

Location: 25718 Kiwanis Avenue

Staff Report: Mason Steffen

General Information:

Legal Description – NW¼ NE¼ Section 7 T102N-R49W Mapleton Township

Present Zoning – A1 Agricultural

Existing Land Use – Farmstead

Parcel Size – 40.00 Acres

Staff Report: Mason Steffen

Staff Analysis: The subject property is located approximately one-mile northeast of the Crooks/Renner exit off of I-29. The property is the last property accessed from Kiwanis Avenue before it dead ends into 257th Street to the north. The petitioner is the owner of Renner Equipment, which is a farm equipment operation within the industrial subdivision located at the Crooks/Renner exit. This request for an agricultural related operation would allow the petitioner to store overflow farm equipment from their business within a personal storage building that is proposed on the subject property. In the past few years, planning staff has received complaints from Mapleton Township that the petitioner was using the property for commercial storage of agricultural equipment. Staff has visited the site several times and the amount of equipment on the site has varied from visit to visit. Allowing the petitioner to utilize the propose building for this overflow storage would minimize the visual aspect of the operation. Additionally, the petitioner has agreed to a haul road agreement with Mapleton Township that requires the petitioner to pay a portion of the dust control fee for this section of Kiwanis Avenue. Finally, the submitted site plan for the operation shows the 60' x 160' pole building will be located in the southwestern portion of the property, near the vacant farmstead.

On November 7, 2024, staff conducted a site visit of the subject property and surrounding area. The property is located a half-mile northwest of the Vintage Village residential subdivision, but the majority of the surrounding area is used for agricultural uses. Additionally, the property is located about a mile from the nearest paved highway. However, the petitioner has agreed to pay a portion of the dust control fee for Kiwanis Avenue, and the limited amount of additional traffic should not cause issues to the neighboring properties.

Conditional Use Permit Criteria:

1) The effect upon the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the uses already permitted, and upon property values in the immediate vicinity.

The subject property is located in an active agricultural area with several farmsteads and acreages nearby. The property is located at the end of a township road that is one mile away from the nearest



paved county highway. The seclusion of the property and the planted tree groves provides screening to the nearest neighbors. Therefore, the operation should have minimal impact on the use and enjoyment of the neighboring properties.

2) The effect upon the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.

Due to the lack of available building eligibilities, the surrounding area will remain mostly agricultural for the foreseeable future. The day to day use of the property will largely be unchanged and therefore will have a minimal impact on development in the area.

3) That utilities, access roads, drainage and/or other necessary facilities are provided.

The site is already developed into a farmstead with existing utilities for the vacant house. If any additional utilities are needed, the petitioner will be required to extend those utilities. The site is accessed off of Kiwanis Avenue, which is a gravel township road, and is located a mile north of a paved county highway. The petitioner has agreed to a haul road agreement with Mapleton Township to pay a portion of the dust control cost for this portion of the road, and this will limit the concern regarding additional dust and traffic to the site. The drainage on the site will not be impacted by the construction of the pole building on the site.

4) That the off-street parking and loading requirements are met.

The property is large enough to meet any parking and loading needs for the operation. Given the nature of the operation, there will not be regular customers to the site, and therefore there will not be a need to require a specific number of off-street parking spaces. No parking or storage will be allowed within the right-of-way at any time.

5) That measures are taken to control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise, vibration, and lighting (inclusive of lighted signs), so that none of these will constitute a nuisance.

The farm equipment storage on the site will take place within an enclosed building, and the property is surrounded by shelter belt trees that screen the site from neighboring properties. Both of these conditions will limit the concern for most nuisances from the operation. The petitioner has entered into a haul road agreement with the township for dust control on Kiwanis Avenue, which will limit any dust nuisances from the operation. All lighting installed for the operation should be directed downward and fully cutoff to prevent glare and light pollution from leaving the property.

6) Health, safety, general welfare of the public and the Comprehensive Plan.

Agricultural Related Operations are allowed in the A1 Agricultural zoning district if the operation involves the “handling, storage, and shipping of farm products.” The operation involves the storage of farm equipment within the proposed building on the property. The health, safety, and general welfare of the public should not be negatively impacted by the proposed operation. The property is located on the edge of the agricultural production area of the 2035 Envision Comprehensive Plan. This area of the plan encourages agricultural and other related uses and this operation will support agricultural production in the county.

Recommendation: Staff recommends **approval** of Conditional Use Permit #24-53 with the following conditions:



- 1) That the property shall adhere to the submitted site plan.
- 2) The operation shall be limited to the proposed 60' x 160' building as depicted on the site plan.
- 3) Any signage shall be limited by article 16.00 of the 1990 Revised Zoning Ordinance for Minnehaha County.
- 4) That no parking shall be allowed within the public right-of-way at any time.
- 5) That a building permit shall be required, prior to the start of construction of any buildings or signage on the property.
- 6) That all new or replacement outdoor lighting shall be of a full cutoff and fully-shielded design to prevent direct spillage of light beyond the property boundaries.
- 7) That all of the requirements in the Haul Road Agreement between the petitioner and Mapleton Township shall be followed continually.
- 8) That the Planning & Zoning Department reserves the right to enter and inspect the site at any time, after proper notice to the owner, to ensure that the property is in full compliance with the conditional use permit conditions of approval and the Minnehaha County Zoning Ordinance.

Public Testimony

Mason Steffen, of county planning staff, presented the request to the commission and explained the amended conditions recommended by staff.

Harold Jackson, of 25723 Packard Lane, Renner, SD, was present and available for questions from the commissioners. He explained that he has been working to clean up the property over the last few years, and that the proposed building for the operation will be the first reinvestment into the property. He further explained that he has talked to the nearest neighbor to the south and they had no issue with the request. Finally, he stated that he has entered an agreement with the township to pay a third of the yearly dust control fee on Kiwanis Avenue and that he wanted to do this in order to be a good neighbor.

Dave Kielman, of 47295 257th Street, Renner, SD, stated that he was the property owner directly east of the site and that he has noticed a lot of dirt work happening at the property already. He also stated that he is not opposed to the proposed operation, but that he does not want the property to turn into a commercial site.

Don Ahlschlager, a Mapleton Township Supervisor, spoke in support of the request. He explained that the township is happy to be working with Harold Jackson and that they appreciate him covering a portion of the dust control fee.

Commissioner Kippley commented that Mapleton Township continues to come to a lot of the planning commission meetings and is always well informed, so he just wanted to thank them for their continued efforts.



Action

A motion was made by Commissioner Kippley and seconded by Commissioner Ode to **approve** Conditional Use Permit #24-53 with amended staff recommended conditions. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor and 0 votes against the motion.

Conditional Use Permit #24-53 – Approved with the following conditions:

- 1) That the property shall adhere to the submitted site plan.
- 2) The operation and any outdoor storage shall be limited to the proposed building and the ten-acre area depicted on the site plan.
- 3) Any signage shall be limited by article 16.00 of the 1990 Revised Zoning Ordinance for Minnehaha County.
- 4) That no parking shall be allowed within the public right-of-way at any time.
- 5) That a building permit shall be required, prior to the start of construction of any buildings or signage on the property.
- 6) That all new or replacement outdoor lighting shall be of a full cutoff and fully-shielded design to prevent direct spillage of light beyond the property boundaries.
- 7) That all of the requirements in the Haul Road Agreement between the petitioner and Mapleton Township shall be followed continually.
- 8) That the Planning & Zoning Department reserves the right to enter and inspect the site at any time, after proper notice to the owner, to ensure that the property is in full compliance with the conditional use permit conditions of approval and the Minnehaha County Zoning Ordinance.



ITEM 5. ENVISION 2045 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ADOPTION

Petitioner: Minnehaha County Planning Staff

Staff Report: Scott Anderson

Staff Report: Scott Anderson

Staff Analysis: Approximately a year ago staff began the process of updating the Envision 2035 Comprehensive Plan. Each chapter was reviewed and revised by staff and then presented to the Planning Commission for review and discussion. In addition, a density task force was created and members appointed by the Planning Commission Chair. The Density Task Force met in March, April and May and discussed a variety of topics, such as ADU's (Accessory Dwelling Units), options for addressing more dense residential developments, and building eligibility transfers. Recommendations were prepared and presented to the Planning Commission. These recommendations have been incorporated into the new 2045 Envision Comprehensive Plan.

Once completed, three public engagement meetings were held in Dell Rapids, Brandon and Hartford. The public was presented with the plan and changes were outlined.

The Planning Commission will now hold a public hearing as required by South Dakota Codified Law and make a recommendation to the County Commission. The Planning Commission has several options available. They can continue the proposed plan and make additional changes, deny the plan or approve the plan and forward it to the County Commission for hearing and final approval and adoption.

Recommendation: Staff recommends **adoption** of the Envision 2045 Comprehensive Plan.

Public Testimony

Scott Anderson, the County Planning Director, gave a brief overview of the process staff has implemented in updating the comprehensive plan and the public outreach meetings. He explained that these meetings generated good comments from the public, and that one recurring theme was that people did not want to see more residential development in the rural area.

Commissioner Ode asked staff how much communication they have with Lincoln County staff regarding these issues. Scott explained that they regularly communicate with Lincoln County regarding procedures and policies, but not necessarily about development in the individual counties.

Commissioner Duffy commented that she appreciates the planning staff's work on the comprehensive plan, and that she thinks this process has worked better than the city of Sioux Fall's process for updating their comprehensive plan, which included hiring an outside consulting agency.

Mason Steffen, of county planning staff, presented the results of the online public engagement survey for the comprehensive plan. This included general comments about the overall plan and answers to specific questions regarding the proposed changes written by staff.



Harold Jackson, of 25723 Packard Lane, Renner, SD, asked planning staff to further explain the definition of an ADU and how they would be used. Kevin Hoekman explained that an accessory dwelling unit (ADU) would allow a property owner to build a smaller secondary dwelling on the same lot as their primary dwelling without the need for another building eligibility. He also explained that they will require a conditional use permit, but that a lot of the details for this process will be worked out during the ordinance amendment.

Don Ahlschlager, a Mapleton Township Supervisor, explained that he attended the Hartford public outreach meeting, and that he thinks planning staff has done an exceptional job on the comprehensive plan. He explained that he likes the proposed changes to allow more clustered residential development, and that the requirement for road districts and being located on a paved highway will reduce the burden on the townships. Finally, he explained that he understands that residential developments produce a lot of money for the township, but that he wants them to be development correctly so that they do not become a burden in the future.

Commissioner Kippley also thanked staff for their work on the comprehensive plan update and stated that he is in support of the three major changes. Commissioner Kippley also commented that these changes will require further development and detail during the ordinance amendment process.

Commissioner Ode asked what would happen to ADU's in the future once the original intended use as a caretaker's residence, in-law suite or other use is no longer needed. Commissioner Ode also commented that this may cause problems twenty years in the future when the county has unoccupied accessory dwellings all throughout the county. Scott Anderson explained that the use of the ADU's would be market driven and that after the original use of the building is no longer needed, it would be logical for the property owner to rent the dwelling or find another use for the structure.

Action

A motion was made by Commissioner Ralston and seconded by Commissioner Kippley to **recommend adoption** of the Envision 2045 Comprehensive Plan. The motion passed unanimously with 5 votes in favor and 0 votes against the motion.

Envision 2045 Comprehensive Plan – Adoption Recommended



**MINNEHAHA COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
MEETING MINUTES**

NOVEMBER 25, 2024

Old Business

Scott Anderson, the County Planning Director, reminded the commission that the terms for Commissioner Ode and VanDerVliet would be expire at the end of the year. Scott explained that the deadline to apply for the planning commission is December 3, 2024 and invited the existing commissioners and anyone else to apply.

New Business

None.

Adjourn

A motion was made to **adjourn** by Commissioner Ode and seconded by Commissioner VanDerVliet. The motion was approved unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:51 p.m.